

S.B.CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION NO.3889/2006

Date : 06.09.2006

HON'BLE MR. SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK, J.

Mr. Dhirendra Singh for the applicant.

Mr. Vishnu Kachhawaha, Public Prosecutor for State.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as learned Public Prosecutor for the State and carefully gone through the impugned order.

The allegations against accused applicant are that a document relating to property situated at Kota was produced in Bank as security for one Rajesh Acharya who was in need of money and for that Bank guarantee was required, the Bank on the basis of document so produced before it, released a sum of Rs.15 lac but later on it was revealed that forgery was committed in the transaction as the alleged plot was already sold prior to submitting the document in the Bank.

In view of above and taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the present case, I do not

find it to be a fit case to extend benefit of anticipatory bail to the applicant. Accordingly, the bail application moved by the applicant under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is rejected.

(SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK), J.